for the

Pineland Forest 2011-2021

Forest Management Plan

Final Plan Summary Phase 2 Planned Operations for the Pineland Forest 2011-2021 Forest Management Plan

1

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	8.11 Planned Operat	tions Summary	
3	8.11.1 Introduction .		1
4	8.11.2 Location		1
5		Responsibility	
6	8.11.4 Public Contact	cts	1
7	8.11.5 Local Citizens	s Committee Participation	2
8	8.11.6 Planned Fore	est Operations for the Second Five-Year Term	2
9	8.11.7 Operational F	Prescriptions	3
10	8.11.8 Conditions or	n Regular Operations	4
11	8.11.9 Major Issues		4
12	8.11.10 Public Consu	ıltation	4
13	8.11.11 Summary Ma	ıp	4
14		APPENDICES	
15	Appendix 1: Commer	nt Form	
16			

8.11 Planned Operations Summary

8.11.1 Introduction

- Forest Management Plans (FMPs) are prepared for a ten-year period with two five-year
- operational terms. The planning process for the two terms is outlined in Part A, section 1
- and 2 of the 2009 Forest Management Planning Manual. This is a summary of the
- 6 planned operations for the second five-year term for the Pineland Forest.
- A FMP establishes long-term direction and identifies the short-term operational goals for
- 8 managing forest resources. FMPs determine how much area is available for harvest as
- 9 well as an estimate of available harvest volume. A FMP is intended to provide the
- opportunity for desired economic, social, and environmental benefits.

8.11.2 Location

11

18

32

- The Pineland Forest is located within the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry
- (MNRF) administrative districts of Chapleau and Timmins, which are in turn administered
- regionally by the Northeast Regional office in South Porcupine.
- Geographically, the Pineland Forest is divided up into two discrete portions: Foleyet and
- Gogama (the later portion is commonly referred to as the "GMU"). The forest is located
- east of Chapleau, encompassing the community of Foleyet.

8.11.3 Management Responsibility

- The Pineland Forest (Management Unit # 421) was licensed to Pineland Timber
- 20 Company, on July 12, 2006, under Sustainable Forest License (SFL) No.5508161.
- 21 EACOM Timber Corporation (EACOM) undertakes all aspects of forest management on
- behalf of the Pineland Timber Company.
- 23 Under the terms of the Sustainable Forest Licence (SFL), EACOM Timber Corporation is
- responsible for all forest management activities, including: forest management planning,
- the functions of harvesting, silviculture, renewal, delivery of fibre, proactive management
- of policy issues and key relationships with forest stakeholders. EACOM is also
- responsible for monitoring and reporting on compliance, and gathering information for
- the area covered by the licence in accordance with the current Forest Information
- Manual. MNRF is responsible for FMP review and approval, auditing reports to ensure
- that forest operations are in compliance with the approved plan, and for maintaining
- consultation with First Nations communities involved in the planning process.

8.11.4 Public Contacts

Rvan Milne, R.P.F.	Plan Author	705-267-3339 ext. 235
IVALLIVIIIIE. IV.F.I.	FIAN AUMOI	/ ひひことひ / こひひひき 反入し とひひ

Kelly Ellis, R.P.F. MNRF Management Forester 705-864-3163

Michael T. Young, R.P.F. MNRF Regional Planning 705-235-1170

Forester

Manfred Grasser LCC Representative Contact MNRF Chapleau

District

8.11.5 Local Citizens Committee Participation

- A member of the local citizen's committee (LCC) has participated in the preparation of
- the phase II forest management plan as a planning team member and attended all
- 4 planning team meetings, information sessions and the formal issue resolution meeting.
- 5 Phase II planning updates occurred regularly during scheduled LCC meetings. All
- aspects of the final phase II forest management plan were presented to the committee
- during the September 29, 2015 meeting. The LCC has prepared the following brief
- statement of general agreement with the phase II forest management plan:
- 9 "The Pineland Forest Local Citizens Committee is in general agreement with, and
- supports the 2011-2021 Phase II Pineland Forest Management Plan as developed by
- the Pineland Forest Planning Team and presented to the Committee on September 29,
- 12 2015."

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

- The LCC report can be found in supplementary documentation section 8.9.8 of the
- planned operations, or as a separate information product labelled
- MU421 2011 FMP P2 TXT SUPPDOC.PDF that is a component of the draft plan
- summary submission.

8.11.6 Planned Forest Operations for the Second Five-Year Term

8.11.6.1 Planned Harvest Operations

The selection of areas identified for harvest during the second five-year term was based on criteria such as the available harvest area (AHA) for each age-class and forest unit combination; NDPEG requirements for disturbance frequency and distribution; residual forest planning; and social, economic and environmental considerations. Planned harvest areas for the second five-year term broken down by major silvicultural systems are summarized in the table below.

Silviculture System	Planned Phase 1 Harvest Area (ha)	Planned Phase 2 Harvest Area (ha)
Clearcut	8,040	24,367
Selection	0	0
Shelterwood	0	273
Total	8,040	24,640

2526

27

28

29

30

31

The lower level of harvest area during Phase 1 is a direct result of market driven mill downtime and a lack of demand for some species, most notably non-veneer aspen and white birch. The limited marketability of aspen and birch has reduced overall harvest of hardwood dominated forest units and influenced the harvest of conifer dominated forest units.

8.11.6.2 Harvest Volumes

Estimated merchantable volumes associated with harvest areas planned for the second five-year term are summarized in the table below.

Planned Harvest Area		Harvest Volume (m³)							
Category	Area (ha)	PRW	SPF	Larch	Cedar	Poplar	White Birch	Other Hardwood	Total Volume
Phase 1 – Harvested Area and Area Forecast for Harvest during remainder of Phase 1	8,040	824	576,084	20,031	73,230	181,859	83,783	1	935,811
Phase 2 - Phase 1 Carry Forward and Phase 2	24,640	20,525	1,227,095	54,235	221,081	948,076	344,395	-	2,815,407
10-yr Total Volume	32,680	21,349	1,803,179	74,266	294,311	1,129,935	428,178	-	3,751,218

8.11.6.3 Planned Forest Renewal and Tending Operations

Planned renewal and tending operations required to meet plan objectives are summarized in the table below. These renewal activities will be carried out on currently planned harvest areas as well as areas harvested during past plan terms. Artificial regeneration refers to tree planting and seeding.

Renewal Activity	Phase 2 Forecast Treatment Area (ha)
Natural Regeneration	15,751
Artificial Regeneration	9,022
Site Preparation	9,956
Aerial Tending	5,455
Pre-commercial Thinning	3

8.11.6.4 Planned Road Access

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Planned road construction for primary and branch roads required during the second fiveyear term of operations is summarized in the table below.

Road Classification	Phase 2 Planned Road Construction/Maintenance (km)
Primary Roads	53
Branch Roads	37
Total	90

Road construction activity during the term 1 of the plan was entirely within the operational road class. No primary or branch roads were constructed. Some of the planned road corridors not constructed during term 1 have been carried forward for construction during term 2. Road construction and use management strategies for primary and branch roads are summarized in Table FMP-18. Additionally, road use management strategies for primary and branch roads are summarized in planned operations supplementary documentation sections 8.9.5.1 and 8.9.5.2 respectively. Road use management strategies are consistent with direction presented in the Crown Land Use Policy Atlas (CLUPA).

8.11.7 Operational Prescriptions

A value is a known natural resource feature, land use or value which may be affected by forest management activities. An Area of Concern (AOC) is a geographic area associated with an identified natural resource feature, land use or value that may be

- affected by forest management activities. A detailed AOC prescription for each identified
- value is developed to prevent, minimize or mitigate adverse effects of forest
- management operations on the value(s) in question. A forest value may include but is
- 4 not limited to: active bald eagle nests, canoe routes, archaeological potential areas, and
- 5 high potential sensitivity streams.
- 6 Many AOC prescriptions designed for the protection of wildlife values were developed in
- accordance with the Forest Management Guide for Conserving Biodiversity at the Stand
- and Site Level. This document is also referred to as the Stand and Site Guide (SSG).
- 9 Where species at risk are involved, prescriptions will be consistent with standards
- identified in the *Endangered Species Act*. Other AOC prescriptions were developed by
- the planning team and based on prescriptions applied in past forest management terms.
- Area-specific prescriptions (*i.e.*, cottages and/or residential lakes) were developed with
- input from the affected groups. Prescriptions for Archaeological Potential Areas (APA) as
- well as other cultural heritage values are based on direction set forth in the *Forest*
- Management Guide for Cultural Heritage Values (2006).

8.11.8 Conditions on Regular Operations

- 17 Conditions on Regular Operations (CRO) are developed to protect on-site values that
- are not addressed by AOC prescriptions. CROs include retaining wildlife trees and
- downed woody debris, maintaining rich lowland hardwood patches, minimizing soil
- 20 rutting and compaction, maintaining residual forest areas, and protecting woodland
- 21 pools.

22

26

40

8.11.9 Major Issues

- No major issues have been encountered during the development of this plan. In the
- event that a major issue arises, it will be documented in section 8.9 of the plan, along
- with possible solutions.

8.11.10 Public Consultation

- 27 During the planning of the second five-year term of operations, there are three formal
- consultation opportunities. In stage 1, the planning team organized a series of
- information centres in April 2015. Public comments were welcomed during these
- information centres and were considered during the preparation of the draft forest
- management plan. In stage 2, following the submission of draft plan, public comments
- will be accepted for the 30-day period between July 24 and August 25, 2015. During the
- stage 2 review period, members of the public may seek formal issue resolution with the
- District Manager. Issue resolution may also be sought with the Regional Director for an
- additional 30-day period following stage 2. During stage 3, the final stage of public
- consultation, there is an opportunity for public inspection of the MNRF-approved
- Planned Operations. Stage 3 is tentatively scheduled for the 30-day period between
- November 4 and December 3, 2015.
- 39 See Appendix 1 for the public comment form for this consultation stage.

8.11.11 Summary Map

- A summary map of the proposed harvest area, renewal and tending operations, and
- locations and names of new and existing roads for the second five-year term of the plan
- has been prepared in English and French. The summary maps are submitted as
- separate information products and labelled:

- MU421_2011_FMP_P2_MAP_Sum_00.PDF MU421_2011_FMP_P2_MAP_SumFR_00.PDF

October 15, 2015 5

Appendix 1: Comment Form

PINELAND FOREST PHASE II 2011-2021 FOREST MANAGEMENT PLAN PHASE II STAGE 3 – Inspection of MNRF Approved Planned **Operations** November 4 to December 3, 2015 COMMENT SHEET By having your name and address, we can reply to your concerns/comments. Name: Address: Tel. No.: Under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (1987) personal information will remain confidential unless prior consent is obtained. However, this information may be used by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry to seek input on other resource management surveys and projects. For further information, please contact Leah Cyr at (705) 864-3116 (Chapleau District MNRF). 1. Do you have a concern with any of the proposed forest access road projections (eg. location, river/stream crossing, unnecessary road or water crossing)? Yes ____ No ____ If you indicated "yes", please specify the road, your concern and how you would change the road proposals to satisfy your concerns.

2.	Do you have a concern with any of the proposed preferred harvest areas (eg. location)					
	Yes No					
	If you indicated "yes", please specify which harvest area, your concern and how your concern could be addressed.					
	Do you have any other concerns or comments?					

Forward your comment sheet to:

Kelly Ellis, R.P.F.
Ministry of Natural Resources and
Forestry, Chapleau District Office
190 Cherry Street
Chapleau, ON POM 1K0
Tel: (705) 864-1710
kelly.ellis@ontario.ca

Ryan Milne, R.P.F. EACOM Timber Corp. 267 McChesney Rd Timmins, ON P4N 7C9 Tel.: (705) 267- 3339 Ext 235 ryan.milne@eacom.ca Manfred Grasser C/O Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Chapleau District Office 190 Cherry Street Chapleau, ON P0M 1K0

31